

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	G DATE	FIRST N	IAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/755,193	01/0	8/2001	Chun-Gi You		06192.0155.NPUS00	4881	
7:	590	05/21/2004	v-		EXAM	INER	
McGuire Woods LLP 1750 Tysons Boulevard		•			BREWSTER,	BREWSTER, WILLIAM M	
Suite 1800	oulevard				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
McLean, VA	22102		:		2823		
•				·	DATE MAILED: 05/21/200)4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N .	Applicant(s)					
	•	09/755,193	YOU, CHUN-GI					
0	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
		William M. Brewster	2823					
	MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the c ver sheet with the	correspondence address					
Period for Rep	•	VIO OET TO EVEIDE A MONÍTU	(0) 50014					
THE MAIL - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of ply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ceived by the Office later than three months after the mailing in term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠ Resp	consive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 A</u>	pril 2004.	*					
		action is non-final.						
<i>,</i> —	e this application is in condition for allowar		osecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition o			•					
-	m(s) <u>1-40,42,43 and 45-55</u> is/are pending	•						
	 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-9 and 14-39</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>40,42,43 and 45-55</u> is/are allowed. 							
5)⊠ Clair								
6)⊠ Clair	m(s) <u>10-13</u> is/are rejected.							
	m(s) is/are objected to.							
8)☐ Clair	m(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application P	apers							
9) The s	specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.					
•	icant may not request that any objection to the							
Repl	acement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The	oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.					
Dulaulteerunde	25 11 6 0 6 440	· ·	•					
_	r 35 U.S.C. § 119		*					
12)∭ Ackn a)∭ All 1.∭	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		ı)-(d) or (f).					
2.	Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	tion No					
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bureau		ed in this National Stage					
* See th	ne attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.					
·			•					
Attachment(s)	A	we can be a local was a superior or or a sub-basis of	The same of the sa					
	eferences Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar						
·	raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Pate Patent Application (PTO-152)					
	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08))/Mail Date	6) Other:	·					

Art Unit: 2823

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 10, 12, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Song et al., U.S. Publication No. 2002/01310324 A1.

Song anticipates a contact structure of a wire, comprising: in fig. 19B, a wire 24 formed of aluminum, p. 5, ¶ 79; an inorganic insulating layer 30 covering the wire and having a contact hole exposing the wire,

limitations from claim 13: wherein the wire has a flat surface;

limitations from claim 12: wherein the inorganic insulating layer is made of silicon-nitride, p. 5, ¶ 80;

limitations from claim 10: wherein the contact hole has a shape including rounds or corner;

and a conductive layer 67 formed of indium zinc oxide (IZO), on the insulating layer and connected to and directly contacting the wire through the contact hole, p. 5, ¶ 84.

Art Unit: 2823

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Song.

Song does not specify the size of the contact hole, so the practitioner is free to optimize this dimension.

"Normally, it is to be expected that a change in temperature, or in concentration, or in both, would be an unpatentable modification. Under some circumstances, however, changes such as these may impart patentablility to a process if the particular ranges claimed produce a new and unexpected result which is different in kind and not merely degree from the results of the prior art . . . such ranges are termed 'critical ranges' and the applicant has the burden of proving such criticality . . . More particularly, where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation."

In re Aller 105 USPQ 233, 255 (CCPA 1955). See also In re Waite 77 USPQ 586 (CCPA 1948); In re Scherl 70 USPQ 204 (CCPA 1946); In re Irmscher 66 USPQ 314 (CCPA 1945); In re Norman 66 USPQ 308 (CCPA 1945); In re Swenson 56 USPQ 372 (CCPA 1942); In re Sola 25 USPQ 433 (CCPA 1935); In re Dreyfus 24 USPQ 52 (CCPA 1934).

Note that the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed dimensions of any unexpected results arising there from. Where

Art Unit: 2823

patentability is aid to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. <u>In re Woodruff</u>, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Allowed Claims

Claims 40, 42-43, 45-55 are allowed.

In claim 40, lines 5-12 cannot be found in the prior art of record. The prior art of record fails to teach, in combination, the process features.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William M. Brewster whose telephone number is 571-272-1854. The examiner can normally be reached on Full Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on 571-272-1855. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

William M Brewster

Art Unit: 2823

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

19 May 2004

WB